

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,938	08/02/2001	Ian Latchford	AMAT/4227.P1/DD/BCVD/JW 8367	
32588	7590 10/02/2002			
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.			EXAMINER	
	Γ BLVD. M/S 2061 ARA, CA 95050		WALKE, AMANDA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	8
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- AS			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/921,938	LATCHFORD ET AL.			
nance, y neuen	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amanda C Walke	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2002 FAILS TO PLATHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W/706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for e later than SIX MONTHS from the mail AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF the date on which the petition under 37 Of d of extension and the corresponding are of the shortened statutory period for rep ffice later than three months after the m	ling date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension nount of the fee. The appropriate extension ly originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl		•			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :				
Claim(s) allowed:	•				
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-44</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:		D. T. C.			

JANET BAXTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has basically repeated the arguments presented in the response filed 2/19/2002 which have been addressed in the Final Office Action (paper #5, 5/6/2002). Given that the examiner still does not find the arguments to be persuasive, the proposed amendment will not be entered, b/c that step is taught by the prior art of record (see page 3, lines 12-18 of the Final Office Action), and thus would not place the application in condition for allowance or reduce the issues for appeal.